

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/940,401	08/27/2001	Francois Marquis	. 33904	4354
116 PEARNE & G	7590 11/27/2007 ORDON LLP		EXAMINER	
1801 EAST 9T	'H STREET		FAULK, DEVONA E	
SUITE 1200 CLEVELAND, OH 44114-3108			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2615	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/27/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/940,401	MARQUIS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
•	Devona E. Faulk	2615			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailling date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Sec 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 26 September 2001 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D / 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

09/940,401 Art Unit: 2615

DETAILED ACTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 7/13/2007 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

- 2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-13 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- Claim 14 is cancelled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 5 recites wherein said generator unit comprising a modulator unit with a carrier frequency signal input and an output being operationally connected to said output of said generator unit and having a modulation input, said modulation input being operationally connected to said input for said encoded audio signal. The examiner is unclear as to what output is operationally connected to the output of the generator unit.

09/940,401 Art Unit: 2615

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claim 1, 2-3,6,8,10,12,13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kuznicki et al. (US 4,972,439).

Regarding claim 1, Kuznicki discloses a wireless transmitter (transmitter illustrated in Figure 3) comprising:

An antenna (Figure 3);

A transmitter signal generator unit generating a signal to be transmitted at an output and having a control input, a control signal applied to said control input controlling at least one of a frequency band and of a power level of said signal to be transmitted (216is the transmitter signal generator; Kuznicki discloses a decoder whose output controls the frequency or power selection (Figure 3; column 5, lines 45-55);

Said output of said generation being operationally connected to said antenna (Figure 3);

An audio signal/control signal decoder unit (decoder 208 outputs signals that control the frequency or power selection, a channel select signal and a power control signal of which either can read on control signal, column 5, lines 45-55; column 8, lines 47-57), said decoder unit generating said control signal at an output of said decoder unit in response to an encoded audio signal at an input of said decoder unit (the signal that

09/940,401

Art Unit: 2615

is input into the decoder is an encoded audio signal, Figure 1 shows that the signal input into the transmitter illustrated in Figure 3 comes from a telephone source and also the telephone signal is processed through a modem which transmits digital data over telephone by modulating the data into an audio signal, therefore the decoder outputs in response to an encoded signal; column 5, lines 35-43;);

Said output of said decoder unit being operationally connected to said control input of said generator unit (Figure 3; column 5, lines 45-55).

All elements of claims **2-4,6,8,10,12** are comprehended by the rejection of claim 1 (modem is an internet connection device).

Regarding **claim 13**, Kuznicki discloses a hearing system (pager system) comprising:

An ear device (implicit that the pager has a transducer that produces sound to notify the user when he or she has received a page) with an output electrical to ,12mechanical converter (implicit that the pager has a transducer that produces sound to notify the user when he or she has received a page) and with a wireless receiver (pagers are the receivers, Figure 1; column 3, lines 48-52), the output thereof being operationally connected to said electrical to mechanical converter (implicit that the pager has a transducer that produces sound to notify the user when he or she has received a page);

A wireless transmitter according to one of the claims 1 to 12 (See above apropos rejection of claim 1), a signal transmitted from said wireless transmitter being

09/940,401 Art Unit: 2615

received and demodulated at said ear device and acting on said electrical to mechanical converter (column 3, lines 45-55).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. **Claim 7** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kuznicki et al. (US 4,972,439) as applied to claim 1 above in further view of Koike (US 6,778,814).

Regarding **claim 7**, Kuznicki fails to disclose of a wireless transmitter further comprising at least one microphone, an output thereof being operationally connected to said input of said decoder unit. Koike teaches of wireless transmitter further comprising at least one microphone, an output thereof being operationally connected to said input of said decoder unit (Figure 2). It would have been obvious to modify Kuznicki by incorporating a microphone in order to provide the capability of wirelessly transmitted voice signals.

10. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kuznicki et al. (US 4,972,439).

Regarding claim 9, Kuznicki discloses a decoder that receives an encoded audio signal. Kuznicki fails to disclose that the encoded audio signal is in a frequency range of 100 Hz to 20kHz. The examiner asserts that this is a matter of design choice.

09/940,401 Art Unit: 2615

It would have been obvious to modify Kuznicki so that the encoded audio signal is in frequency range of 100 Hz to 20 kHz in order to meet some design specification.

10. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kuznicki et al. (US 4,972,439) as applied to claim 1 above in further view of McGreevy (US 5,319,716).

Regarding claim 11, Kuznicki discloses controlling the selection of the carrier frequency of the signal to be transmitted (column 5, lines 45-55; column 8, lines 47-57). Kuznicki fails to disclose a manually operable selection unit with an output operationally connected to a control input of a carrier frequency generator unit.

McGreevy discloses a manually operable selection unit with an output operationally connected to a control input of a carrier frequency generator unit (manually controllable reactive components 54, Figure 1; column 3, lines 2-7).

It would have been obvious to modify Kuznicki to include a manually operable selection unit in order to give the user some control over the system.

Claim Objections

11. Claims 5 and 9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Devona E. Faulk whose telephone number is 571-272-7515. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 am - 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivian Chin can be reached on 571-272-7848. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DEF

VIVIAN CHIN SUPERVISODY PRITEIT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2000